Remarks

Claims 1, 3, 6, and 7 were rejected as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Application
Publication 2002/0074598 to Doyle, et al. ("Doyle"). Applicants respectfully traverse the
rejection on the following grounds. Claim 1 recites a transistor having a source region, a drain
region and "an impurity region . . . laterally spaced from the source and drain regions."

Examiner has asserted that Doyle discloses this feature, "as can be seen in the figure."

Applicants respectfully respond that the figures of Doyle do not disclose this feature. Figures 5 –
7, for instance, illustrate a method of forming an NMOS device. As can be seen in Figure 7, the
voids 56 of Doyle are aligned with the source and drain regions 58 and 60, respectively. This is
consistent with the description of the figures, which states that "a mask 52 is formed on a
substrate 50 using conventional photoresist techniques, such that the region of the substrate 50
that will eventually be the channel region of NMOS device is exposed (see FIG. 5). Then,
helium is implanted to form voids 56 in the exposed region." See Doyle at Paragraph 39
(emphasis added). As Doyle describes, the voids are formed in the channel region, which clearly
is aligned with and abuts the source and drain regions (see Figure 7). As such, the impurity
region of Doyle is not laterally spaced from the source and drain regions.

Figures 8-10 of Doyle illustrate a method of forming a PMOS. As the figures illustrate, the impurity region is formed directly beneath the source and drain regions, and hence is not formed laterally spaced from the source and drain regions, as required by claim 1. Other figures in Doyle show that the impurity region either abuts the source and drain regions (e.g., Figure 11 and Figure 18) or underlies the source and/or drain region (Figure 12). Nowhere does Doyle teach or suggest, however, forming the impurity region in the channel region and laterally spaced from the source and drain regions. For at least this reason, claim 1 and by dependence claims 2-12 are patentably distinct over the prior art.

Because Doyle fails to anticipate or render obvious claim 1, Applicants will not address herein the additional distinguishing features of dependent claims 2-12 over the prior art.

Applicants' failure to do so should not be interpreted as agreement with Examiner's assertion, however, and Applicants reserve the right to raise such distinguishing features, however, should Examiner raise additional or other grounds for rejection.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of pending claims 1-12 and that the present application be promptly passed to issuance.

Respectfully submitted,

Steven H. Slater

Reg. No. 35,361

Attorney for Applicants

Slater & Matsil, L.L.P. 17950 Preston Rd., Suite 1000 Dallas, TX 75252

Tel: 972-732-1001 Fax: 972-732-9218

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

□ BLACK BORDERS
□ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
□ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
□ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
□ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
□ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
□ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
□ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
□ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
□ OTHER:

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.